

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/587,395 | SATO ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Paul A. Zucker | 1621 | |

All Participants:

(1) Paul A. Zucker.

(2) Richard L. Treanor.

Date of Interview: 13 March 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Possible 102 over Polgar et al

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Polgar et al (Journal of the Chemical Society, 1943, pages 615-619)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Mr. Treanor to request authorization to make an Examiner's Amendment to claim 1 excluding compounds where $n=8$ since such a compound was disclosed (Page 616, 2nd full paragraph from bottom) by Polgar in his teaching of beta-n-decyl-beta-n-dodecyl-propionic acid. Mr Treanor agreed to authorize an Examiner's Amendment, in order to obviate a rejection over Polgar, of the following form:

The claims have been amended as follows:

Claim 1, line 4: The phrase- "and wherein, n does not equal 8" has been inserted after the phrase "4 to 30" and before the terminal period ".".